Long-term controlled atmosphere storage techniques on ‘Granny Smith’ apples
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Reason for long-term storage

Edited figure, Source of original: HORTGRO Key Statistics (2017)
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Promising long-term storage systems

- Dynamic control atmosphere - chlorophyll fluorescence (DCA-CF)
- Dynamic control atmosphere - respiration quotient (DCA-RQ)
- Extreme ultra low oxygen (XLO)

Dynamic Controlled Atmosphere (DCA)

- The gas composition of the storage environment is adapted according to sensors, enabling adaptation in response to the fruit metabolism
  - Adjustments are achieved by pumping in O₂ rich air (atmospheric composition)
- 3 sensor types for monitoring
  1. Chlorophyll fluorescence (CF)
  2. Respiration quotient (RQ)
  3. Ethanol (ET)
- Benefit of DCA
  - Prohibits extended storage under anaerobic conditions
Aims

I. Comparison of **fruit quality** maintenance between systems.
II. Comparison of **respiratory quotient** reading and subsequent oxygen control between DCA-CF and DCA-RQ storage systems.
III. Determining the effect of ethylene scrubbing on the storability of fruit under DCA-CF storage.
IV. Comparing biochemical data between fruit stored under different long term storage techniques.
V. Evaluate the effect of different low oxygen storage techniques on the overall **sensory sensation** of fruit.

How does XLO work?

“**Static**” CA,
No in situ sensors to monitor fruit response to the modified atmosphere

**LOW TOLERANCE TOWARDS DEVIATIONS FROM SET GAS LEVELS**
- Benefit of XLO (O₂: 1.2 - 1.5 %, CO₂: 0.6 - 1.0 %)
  - Better quality maintenance, compared to standard CA storage
  - Extremely sensitive ethylene sensors (0,001 ppm)

Source: Both et al., (2016)
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How does DCA-CF work?

• Sensors measure chlorophyll fluorescence, a peak/sharp increase shows O₂ stress

• **Benefit of DCA-CF**
  
  (O₂: 0.3 - 0.8%, CO₂: 0.3 - 0.8 %)
  
  ➢ Better quality maintenance, compared to standard CA storage (O₂: 1.5%, CO₂: 1.0 %)
  
  ➢ Reduced incidence of O₂ related disorders

  Source: Wright et al., (2012)

---

How does DCA-RQ work?

• Sensors measure the CO₂ produced and O₂ consumed during storage, respiratory quotient (RQ) is calculated

  C₆H₁₂O₆ + O₂ → CO₂ + H₂O + energy

  • RQ = CO₂ produced / O₂ consumed (1 = aerobic, > 1 anaerobic).
  
  • When RQ value is above the set-point RQ value the oxygen partial pressure is raised

• **Benefit of DCA-RQ**
  
  ➢ Better quality maintenance, compared to standard CA storage
  
  ➢ Measures the overall metabolic response

  Source: Bessemans et al., (2016)
Aim 1

Fruit quality maintenance comparison between different low oxygen storage techniques

Source: Both et al., (2016)
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Aim 1 - Methodology

Evaluation times
- At Harvest (obtaining a baseline)
- After 30 weeks of storage at 0 °C
- + 6 weeks RA at -0.5 °C
- + 10 days shelf-life at 20 °C.

Source: Bessemans et al., (2016)
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Evaluation Parameters
- Flesh Firmness
- Total Soluble Solids
- Titratable Acidity
- Starch Breakdown
- Superficial Scald Incidence And Severity
- Colour Change (A.38 & H,L,C)
- External Defects e.g. Greasiness
- Internal Defects e.g. Core Flush, Browning
Aim 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Replicates (Janny&lt;sub&gt;MT&lt;/sub&gt; bin)</th>
<th>Minimum fruit per replicate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DCA-CF</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA-CF + ethylene scrubbing</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA-RQ</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLO + ethylene scrubbing</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Evaluation per evaluation date (excluding at harvest), per replicate, per treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maturity indexing</th>
<th>Scald development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 fruit (60 Fruit – Biochemical, 10 Ethylene)</td>
<td>Remainder</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Aim 2

Comparison of RQ reading and subsequent O<sub>2</sub> control between DCA-CF and DCA-RQ storage systems

1. Compare the RQ readings obtained under both systems.

2. Determine which method is more prone to increase O<sub>2</sub> levels (which system maintains the lowest O<sub>2</sub> levels).

Source: Both et al., (2016)
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Aim 3

Determining the effect of ethylene scrubbing on the storability of fruit under DCA-CF storage / low oxygen environments.

Ethylene primarily influences:
- Greasiness
- Green colour loss
- Superficial scald incidence / severity
- Firmness
- TA

Effects of ethylene scrubbing:

Why ethylene matters:

1. The synthesis of α-farnesene is ethylene dependent (Watkins et al., 1993; Ju & Curry, 2000).
2. 1-MCP’s efficiency in lowering scald in apples confirms that ethylene is the main inducer of scald (Fan et al., 1999; Zanella, 2003).
3. The main effect of initial low oxygen stress treatments is the reduction of ethylene production during cold storage, which results in a reduction in superficial scald development (Pesis et al., 2010).
Effects of ethylene scrubbing:

Practical implications:

1. The regulation of ethylene action or biosynthesis has a notable effect on volatile production in apple (Defilippi et al., 2005).

2. Ethylene level (averages of tested levels: 2440, 178, 0.231 ml/l) had no significant influence on fruit flesh firmness and TA retention in ‘McIntosh’ apples stored for 210 days under 1.5% CO₂ +1.0% O₂ directly after storage (Lidster et al., 1983).

3. Malic acid degradation is suppressed under low ethylene conditions (Defilippi et al., 2004).

Effects of ethylene scrubbing:

Practical implications (continued):

4. In the case of ‘Cox Orange Pippin’ flesh firmness retention was extended by ethylene scrubbing from the store atmosphere, at the tested O₂ levels of 1.25, 1.0, and 0.75%, both after 153 days of storage and after 14 days of shelf life (Stow, 1990).

5. Ethylene scrubbing significantly reduced TA acidity loss under CA storage utilizing 0.75% O₂ in the case of ‘Cox Orange Pippin’ stored for 153 days (Stow, 1990).
Aim 4

Biochemical parameters under different low O₂ storage techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biochemical parameters</th>
<th>per evaluation date per replicate, per treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROS</td>
<td>MHO &amp; EtOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 fruit</td>
<td>10 fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHO</td>
<td>ACC, α-farnesene, CTols (Frozen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 fruit</td>
<td>20 fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>Internal gasses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O₂; CO₂; C₂H₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 fruit per reading</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Headspace volatile analysis
- α-farnesene, MHO & ethanol
- 3 replicates, 10 fruit per replicate (in duplicate)
- GC-MS (Agilent technologies)

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) production
- 3 replicates, 10 fruit per replicate
- Fluorescent confocal microscopy
Aim 4

Conjugated trienols (CTols)
- 3 replicates, 20 fruit / rep
- HPLC
- UV Spectrophotometrically (α-farnesene & CTols)
- Fruit peel is frozen with liquid nitrogen
- Stored at -80 °C till analysis
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Aim 5

Effect of different low O₂ storage techniques on the overall sensory quality of fruit

Descriptive Sensory Analysis (DSA)
- A standard unstructured 100-point line scale
- Sensory profile per treatment
  - After long term storage
  - After simulated shipping
  - After shelf-life
- 3 replicates per treatment - 2 fruits per replicate
- Trained panel
Expected Outcomes

I. Fruit quality storage profiling for different long term methods.
II. Idea of the control of O₂ & CO₂ under different methods.
III. Comparison of RQ value of DCA-CF and DCA-RQ storage methods
IV. Does ethylene scrubbing influence long term DCA/CA storage quality?
V. Biochemical data between fruit stored under different long term storage methods
   a) Understand mechanisms e.g. α-farnesene vs. internal ethylene / ACC
   b) How high is ethanol in DCA-RQ vs. DCA-CF vs. XLO?
VI. Sensory experience between fruit stored under different long term storage methods

Points of interest:

1. Core flush incidence decreases with decreasing O₂ concentrations utilizes in long term storage in the case of ‘Granny Smith’ (Zanella, 2003), however ethylene scrubbing under low oxygen storage (1.25, 1.0, and 0.75% O₂) has been shown to exacerbated core flush incidence in ‘Cox Orange Pippin’ stored for 153 days (Stow, 1990).
2. A modified atmosphere of 1.0% O₂ decreased ethylene accumulation during storage, as well as supressed ethylene and CO₂ production at the end of storage compared to conventional CA (Lidster et al., 1983). Will additional ethylene scrubbing be economical?
3. Ethylene has been shown to be an important modulator in the biosynthesis of aroma compounds under normoxia (Defilippi et al., 2005).
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